Cancellation Patterns in Automatic Geometric Theorem Proving

Jürgen Richter-Gebert and Susanne Apel

Technical University of Munich

July 24, 2010

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

What is this about?

Incidence Theorems in \mathbb{RP}^2 like



and two algebraic proving techinques which work for some theorems.

Both

- make heavily use of cancellation
- are constructed from basic building blocks
- look different at first sight but surprisingly are the same



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1, 2, 3), (4, 5, 6),

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7).



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (3,

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (1,6,8).

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (1,6,8), (3,4,8),

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



Collinearity-Hypotheses: (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (1,6,8), (3,4,8), (1,5,9), (3,5,7), (1,5,9), (1,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Collinearity-Hypotheses:} \\ (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), \\ (1,6,8), (3,4,8), (1,5,9), (2,4,9) \end{array}$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Collinearity-Hypotheses:} \\ (1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), \\ (1,6,8), (3,4,8), (1,5,9), (2,4,9) \end{array}$

Conclusion:

Point-Triple (7,8,9) is collinear as well!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



Collinearity-Hypotheses:

(1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (1,6,8), (3,4,8), (1,5,9), (2,4,9)

Conclusion:

Point-Triple (7, 8, 9) is collinear as well!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

later on: non-degeneracy assumptions when needed.



Collinearity-Hypotheses:

(1,2,3), (4,5,6), (2,6,7), (3,5,7), (1,6,8), (3,4,8), (1,5,9), (2,4,9)

Conclusion:

Point-Triple (7, 8, 9) is collinear as well!

later on: non-degeneracy assumptions when needed.

Content

1 A binomial proof for Pappus's theorem

2 Ceva-Menelaus Proofs

From a binomial proof to a Ceva-Menelaus proof

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Content

1 A binomial proof for Pappus's theorem

2 Ceva-Menelaus Proofs

From a binomial proof to a Ceva-Menelaus proof



Preliminaries: From Grassmann-Plücker relations to biquadratic equations

Grassmann-Plücker relations: $A, B, C, X, Y \in \mathbb{RP}^2$

[ABC][AXY] - [ABX][ACY] + [ABY][ACX] = 0

[* * *] - determinant (of homogeneous coordinates) of three points.

$$((A, B, C) \text{ or } (A, X, Y) \text{ are collinear})$$

 $\iff [ABX][ACY] = [ABY][ACX]$

Definition

[ABX][ACY] = [ABY][ACX] - biquadratic equations.

 \rightarrow building blocks of binomial proofs.



 $OLL(123) \implies [124][137] = [127][134]$





 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{COLL}(123) & \implies & [124][137] = [127][134] \\ \text{COLL}(159) & \implies & [154][197] = [157][194] \end{array}$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{COLL}(123) & \implies & [124][137] = [127][134] \\ \text{COLL}(159) & \implies & [154][197] = [157][194] \\ \text{COLL}(108) & \implies & 184[167] \\ \end{array}$



COLL(123) COLL(159) COLL(168) COLL(249) COLL(249) COLL(348) COLL(267) COLL(357)

[124][137] = [127][134][154][197] = [157][194][184][167] = [187][164]

(日) (同) (日) (日)

-



COLL(123)	\implies	[124][137] = [127][134]
COLL(159)	\implies	[154][197] = [157][194]
COLL(168)	\implies	[184][167] = [187][164]
COLL(249)	\implies	[427][491] = [421][497]
COLL(456)	\implies	[457][461] = [451][467]
COLL(348)	\implies	[487][431] = [481][437]
COLL(267)	\implies	[721][764] = [724][761]
COLL(357)	\implies	[751][734] = [754][731]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



COLL(123)	\implies	[124][137] = [127][134]
COLL(159)	\implies	[154][197] = [157][194]
COLL(168)	\implies	[184][167] = [187][164]
COLL(249)	\implies	[427][491] = [421][497]
COLL(456)	\implies	[457][461] = [451][467]
COLL(348)	\implies	[487][431] = [481][437]
COLL(267)	\implies	[721][764] = [724][761]
COLL(357)	\implies	[751][734] = [754][731]

=

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4)

shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4)

shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7)

shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7)

shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7) (1,2,7)

shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7)

shall not be collinear



















We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4)shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4)(1,6,7) shall not be collinear


We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples (1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7) shall not be collinear

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 - のへで



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear

・ロト・雪・・雪・・雪・・ 白・ ろくの



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣 - の々ぐ



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - の々で



[784][791] = [781][794]

biqu. equ.

We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots, (7,1,4)$ shall not be collinear



We add non-degeneracy assumptions: The triples $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots, (7,1,4)$ shall not be collinear

Natural representation of incidence theorems

Incidence Theorems

- $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}, C).$
- H collinearity hypotheses
- **B** non-degeneracy assumptions (bases)
- C Conclusion

encoded by triples (of indices) of points.

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

・ロン ・ 理 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ …

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

 $(1,2,4), (1,3,7), (1,2,7), (1,3,4), (1,5,4), (1,5,7), (1,9,4), (1,8,4), (1,6,7), (1,6,4), \dots$ and (7,1,4)

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

Recall:



non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

・ロン ・ 理 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と … ヨ …

Triples of points in B:



So:

To each incidence theorem, there are reasonable intrinsic and algorithmically good-natured non-degeneracy assumptions!

Recall:

	COLL(123)	\implies	[124][137] = [127][134]
$\lambda \lambda 1$ $\lambda 2 / 2 / / $	COLL(159)	\implies	[154][197] = [157][194]
	COLL(168)	\implies	[184][167] = [187][164]
	COLL(249)	\implies	[427][491] = [421][497]
9	COLL(456)	\implies	[457][461] = [451][467]
	COLL(348)	\implies	[487][431] = [481][437]
	COLL(267)	\implies	[721][764] = [724][761]
4 / 5 0 / 1	COLL(357)	\implies	[751][734] = [754][731]
	COLL(789) or	←	[784][791] = [781][794]
	COLL(714)		

non-degeneracy triples:

(1,2,4),(1,3,7),(1,2,7),(1,3,4),(1,5,4),(1,5,7),(1,9,4),(1,8,4), $(1,6,7),(1,6,4),\ldots$ and (7,1,4)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

How to find a proof

- state *all* biquadratic equations, e.g. [*ABX*][*ACY*] = [*ABY*][*ACX*]
- take the logarithm $\sim \log[ABX] + \log[ACY] = \log[ABY] + \log[ACX]$

- $\log[* * *]$'s \rightarrow formal symbols
- solve a linear equation system
- \rightarrow polynomial algorithm (R-G)

Subtility: permutations inside the brackets

Content

1 A binomial proof for Pappus's theorem



From a binomial proof to a Ceva-Menelaus proof



Building blocks for this Proof

In an affine Setup with oriented lengths:

Ceva's theorem Menelaus's theorem Ζ Ζ D E В А В х $\frac{|AX|}{|XB|} \cdot \frac{|BY|}{|YC|} \cdot \frac{|CZ|}{|ZA|} = 1$ $\frac{|AX|}{|XB|} \cdot \frac{|BY|}{|YC|} \cdot \frac{|CZ|}{|ZA|} = -1$

one edge of the triangle \leftrightarrow one ratio in the formula

→ building blocks for Ceva-Menelaus proofs!

Affine Cancellation



 $\frac{|AY|}{|YB|} \cdot \frac{|BX|}{|XC|} \cdot \frac{|CZ|}{|ZA|} \cdot \frac{|BV|}{|VD|} \cdot \frac{|DW|}{|WC|} \cdot \frac{|CX|}{|XB|} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{|AY|}{|YB|} \cdot \frac{|CZ|}{|ZA|} \cdot \frac{|BV|}{|VD|} \cdot \frac{|DW|}{|WC|} = 1$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

< ロ > < 酉 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 の Q (や





 $\frac{|AU|}{|UB|} \cdot \frac{|BV|}{|VC|} \cdot \frac{|CY|}{|YA|} \cdot \frac{|CW|}{|WD|} \cdot \frac{|DX|}{|XA|} \cdot \frac{|AY|}{|YC|}$

 $\frac{|AX|}{|XD|} \cdot \frac{|DZ|}{|ZB|} \cdot \frac{|BU|}{|UA|} \quad \cdot \quad \frac{|BZ|}{|ZD|} \cdot \frac{|DW|}{|WC|} \cdot \frac{|CV|}{|VB|} = 1.$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで



 $\frac{|AU|}{|UB|} \cdot \frac{|BV|}{|VC|} \cdot \frac{|CY|}{|YA|} \quad \cdot \quad \frac{|CW|}{|WD|} \cdot \frac{|DX|}{|XA|} \cdot \frac{|AY|}{|YC|} \quad \cdot$

 $\frac{|AX|}{|XD|}\cdot\frac{|DZ|}{|ZB|}\cdot\frac{|BU|}{|UA|} \quad \cdot \quad \frac{|BZ|}{|ZD|}\cdot\frac{|DW|}{|WC|}\cdot\frac{|CV|}{|VB|} = 1.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



 $\frac{|AU|}{|UB|} \cdot \frac{|BV|}{|VC|} \cdot \frac{|CY|}{|YA|} \cdot \frac{|CW|}{|WD|} \cdot \frac{|DX|}{|XA|} \cdot \frac{|AY|}{|YC|} \cdot \frac{|AX|}{|XD|} \cdot \frac{|DZ|}{|ZB|} \cdot \frac{|BU|}{|UA|} \cdot \frac{|BZ|}{|ZD|} \cdot \frac{|DW|}{|WC|} \cdot \frac{|CV|}{|VB|} = 1.$

▲口 → ▲圖 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → □ 臣 □





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで





▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー のへで





◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで






Ceva-Menelaus Proofs



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Ceva-Menelaus Proofs



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Ceva-Menelaus Proofs

A "small" triangulation of a torus:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Content

1 A binomial proof for Pappus's theorem

2 Ceva-Menelaus Proofs

From a binomial proof to a Ceva-Menelaus proof

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Reconsider the binomial proof of Pappus's Theorem [124][137]

F + = + 3 F + = - 3 F + = - 3 F + = + 3

$\lfloor 124 \rfloor \lfloor 137 \rfloor = \lfloor 127 \rfloor \lfloor 134 \rfloor \Leftrightarrow $	$\frac{1}{[127][134]} = 1$	
$[154][197] = [157][194] \Leftrightarrow$	$\frac{[154][197]}{[157][194]} = 1$	
$[184][167] = [187][164] \Leftrightarrow$	$\frac{[184][167]}{[187][164]} = 1$	[104][127] [154][107] [194][167]
$[427][491] = [421][497] \Leftrightarrow $	$\frac{[427][491]}{[421][497]} = 1$	$\frac{[124][137]}{[127][134]} \cdot \frac{[154][197]}{[157][194]} \cdot \frac{[164][167]}{[187][164]}.$
[457][461] = [451][467]	$\frac{[457][461]}{[451][467]} = 1$	$\frac{[427][491]}{[421][497]} \cdot \frac{[457][461]}{[451][467]} \cdot \frac{[487][431]}{[481][437]}$
$[487][431] = [481][437] \Leftrightarrow $	$\frac{[487][431]}{[481][437]} = 1$	$\frac{[721][764]}{[724][761]} \cdot \frac{[751][734]}{[754][731]} = \frac{[784][791]}{[781][794]}$
[721][764] = [724][761] ⇔	$\frac{[721][764]}{[724][761]} = 1$	
[751][734] = [754][731] ⇔	$\frac{[751][734]}{[754][731]} = 1$	
[784][791] = [781][794] ↔	$\frac{[781][794]}{[784][791]} = 1$	
[124] [137] [154] [197]	[184] [167]	[427] [491] [457] [461]
[127] [134] [157] [194]	[187] [164]	[421] [497] [451] [467]
[487] [431]	[721] [764]	[751] [734] [781] [794] 1
[481] . [437]	. [724] . [761]	$\cdot \overline{[754]} \cdot \overline{[731]} \cdot \overline{[784]} \cdot \overline{[791]} = 1$



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで





・ロト ・聞 と ・ 聞 と ・ 聞 と …

э.







イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3







\$

oh: vertices: (non-zero) brackets edges: between vertices differing in one index

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

Roadmap

Two tasks:

• Associating graph triangles with Ceva and Menelaus triangles

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• Finding triangles in every such graph!

Interpreting graph-triangles

Two combinatorial kinds of triangles:





Graph-triangles will be translated to Ceva and Menelaus triangles:



From lengths to brackets



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

The base triangles corresponding to Ceva and Menelaus triangles



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ─ □ ─ つへで



$[721][764] = [724][761] \iff \frac{[721][764]}{[274][671]} = 1 \iff \frac{[1\ 72]}{[4\ 27]} = \frac{[1\ 67]}{[4\ 76]}$

(日) (同) (日) (日)

э



$$[721][764] = [724][761] \iff \frac{[721][764]}{[274][671]} = 1 \iff \frac{[1\ 72]}{[4\ 27]} = \frac{[1\ 67]}{[4\ 76]}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



$$[721][764] = [724][761] \iff \frac{[721][764]}{[274][671]} = 1 \iff \frac{[1\ 72]}{[4\ 27]} = \frac{[1\ 67]}{[4\ 76]}$$

э



$$[721][764] = [724][761] \Leftrightarrow \frac{[721][764]}{[274][671]} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{[1\ 72]}{[4\ 27]} = \frac{[1\ 67]}{[4\ 76]}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{|1x|}{|x4|} = \frac{|1y|}{|y4|} \Leftrightarrow x = y$$

Back to Pappus

So:



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Back to Pappus



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

Roadmap

Two tasks:

• Associating graph triangles with Ceva and Menelaus triangles

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• Finding triangles in every such graph!

On finding triangles in every such a graph

Pappus: misleading example!

misleading picture:



In general

- n-cycles
- 2 generic points $g, h \rightsquigarrow$ new vertices in the graph



 It can be shown: number of Menelaus triangles is even

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Conclusion



Binomial Proofs	Ceva-Menelaus Proofs
cancellation patterns on [* * *]	cancellation patterns on edges
easy to verify	visual proofs
algorithmically feasible	topological information
algebraic flavor	geometric flavor